# SOCIAL AND BEHAVIOR CHANGE COMMUNICATION Capacity Assessment Tool

SBCC-CAT

FOR USE WITH DONORS AND NETWORKS

**FACILITATOR'S GUIDE** 



This publication is made possible by the generous support of the American people through the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) under the terms of Agreement No. GPO-A-00-07-00004-00. The contents are the responsibility of the C-Change program, managed by AED, and do not necessarily reflect the views of USAID or the United States Government.



# **SOCIAL AND BEHAVIOR CHANGE**

# **COMMUNICATION-CAPACITY ASSESSMENT TOOL** (SBCC-CAT)

# FOR USE WITH DONORS AND NETWORKS

**FACILITATOR'S GUIDE** 

**March 2011** 

This publication is made possible by the generous support of the American people through the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) under the terms of Agreement No. GPO-A-00-07-00004-00. The contents are the responsibility of the C-Change program, managed by AED, and do not necessarily reflect the views of USAID or the United States Government.





# **Recommended citation**

C-Change. 2011. Social and Behavior Change Communication Capacity Assessment Tool (SBCC-CAT) for Use with Donors and Networks. Facilitator's Guide. Washington, DC: C-Change/AED.

C-Change is implemented by AED and its partners— CARE; Internews; Ohio University; IDEO; University of Washington/I-TECH; Center for Media Studies, India; New Concept, India; Soul City, South Africa; Social Surveys, South Africa; and Straight Talk, Uganda.

#### **Contact information**

C-Change 1825 Connecticut Ave., NW, Ste. 800 Washington, DC 20009 USA Tel: +1.202.884.8000 Fax: +1.202.464.3799 Email: <u>cchange@aed.org</u> Website: <u>http://www.c-changeprogram.org</u>

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Introduction	4
Background	
What does the SBCC-CAT do?	4
Who Should Use the SBCC-CAT?	5
How to use the SBCC-CAT?	5
Step 1: Assessing SBCC Capacity	6
Step 2: Reviewing Materials	6
Step 3: Developing a Capacity Strengthening Plan	7
Step 1: Assessing SBCC Capacity	8
Guidance on SBCC Concepts Used in Step One	8
Step 2: Reviewing Materials	
Materials Review and Scoring Adjustments	
Step 3: Developing a Capacity Strengthening Plan	25
Finalizing Scores	25
Synthesizing SBCC Gaps Identified	
Planning and Prioritizing SBCC Capacity Strengthening Needs	
Annex 1: Organizational Profile	
Annex 2: Sample Invitation	
Annex 3: Sample SBCC-CAT Agenda	
Annex 4: Sample SBCC-CAT Session Plan	
Annex 5: Case Study—Development and Use of the SBCC-CAT in Namibia	
Annex 6: Resources Used to Develop the SBCC-CAT	

# FOR USE WITH DONORS AND NETWORKS

# **INTRODUCTION**

# Background

C-Change developed the Social and Behavior Change Communication – Capacity Assessment Tool (SBCC-CAT) to help agencies (e.g. donors or

**networks)** that support and manage organizations/partners working in health and development communication to measure its own technical capacity and needs in SBCC as well as that of their partners.

SBCC is an interactive, researched, and planned process that aims at changing social conditions and individual behaviors. SBCC uses advocacy, social mobilization, and behavior change communication to achieve its desired goal: to find a tipping point for sustainable changes in behaviors and society overall.

Successful SBCC hinges on a process with five components described C-Planning. Meeting particular standards in each of the following components is crucial:

- 1. Understanding the Context through Situation Analysis
- 2. Focusing & Designing the Communication Strategy
- 3. Creating Interventions & Materials for Change
- 4. Implementing & Monitoring Change Processes
- 5. Evaluating & Replanning the program

# What does the SBCC-CAT do?



OURCE: Adapted from Health Communication Partnership, P-Process Brochure, CCP at JHU (2003); McKee, Manoncourt, Chin, Carnegie, ACADA Model (2000); Parker, Dalrymple, and Durden, The Integrated Strategy Wheel (1998); AED, Tool Box for Building Health Communication Capacity (1995); National Cancer Institute: Health Communication Program Cycle (1989).

The SBCC-CAT helps your agency **assess the quality of your own and your partner's SBCC program** based on the five components above. By using this Tool, agencies can identify the strengths and weaknesses of their current programs and that of partners they support—where they are meeting these basic standards and where they do not—as well as define activities that strengthen staff's capacity, refocus programs, and improve the overall quality of their SBCC efforts. Using the Tool as a measurement tool over time will allow for agencies to assess their, own and their partners', increased competencies and capacity in SBCC.

This Facilitator's Guide accompanies the SBCC-CAT, the actual assessment tool. To guide the facilitation of the SBCC-CAT, this document contains:

- Guidance on who should use and how to use the SBCC-CAT
- Clarification on concepts used during the assessment

• Worksheets and templates to assist in the facilitation of the SBCC-CAT

# Who Should Use the SBCC-CAT?

The SBCC-CAT can be used by any **agency involved in health and development** that is interested in improving the design, implementation, and monitoring and evaluation (M&E) of its SBCC programs. The Tool should be administered by a facilitator, who, ideally, is not part of the agency. The agency should create an environment that is participatory and equitable, where all participants feel comfortable contributing their opinions and do not feel they are being steered toward a certain score or outcome. It is helpful if the facilitator is familiar with SBCC concepts and has experience in planning, implementing, and evaluating SBCC programs and activities. The facilitator may also want to bring examples of documents discussed in this tool, such as a communication strategy or a creative brief. Examples of all documents mentioned in this Tool can be found in the *C-Modules*, learning package for SBCC available for download at <a href="http://c-changeprogram.org/focus-areas/capacity-strengthening/sbcc-modules">http://c-changeprogram.org/focus-areas/capacity-strengthening/sbcc-modules</a>

# How to use the SBCC-CAT?

The Facilitator's Guide provides background on the SBCC-CAT, guidance on using it, and sample tools that will help organizations prepare for the SBCC-CAT:

The facilitator should invite an organization to participate (a sample invitation letter is in Annex 1) and become familiar with the organization prior to the assessment (an organizational profile template is provided in Annex 2). A sample agenda to facilitate the SBCC-CAT is in Annex 3.

The agency should clearly understand the purpose of the SBCC-CAT and note that the SBCC-CAT assessment is a *three-step process*<sup>1</sup>:

- 1. The first step is the use of the tool to guide discussions and scoring.
- 2. The second gathers evidence through a review of communication materials.
- 3. The third step finalizes scores of the SBCC-CAT and concludes with the development of a capacity strengthening plan.

After completing the full *three-step process* the agency will be able to take action to increase its own SBCC capacity and that of their partners in a systematic and evidence-based manner.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These steps may take place on different days, depending on participants' availability. The number of participants should be limited to no more than 10, as they will need to discuss each question and reach an agreement on a score. It may be helpful to use a digital recorder or designate a note-taker so all discussion points are captured. If the group must be larger than 10, it may be divided into sub-groups, but this will require the active presence of multiple facilitators. Each sub-group will take a section of the assessment tool and report their results back to plenary.

# The principal steps in the participatory assessment process are as follows:

# **Step 1: Assessing SBCC Capacity**

**The facilitator provides a brief introduction that stresses the purpose of the participatory assessment**: to assist the team to identify their strengths and weaknesses in their SBCC and their skill level. The process is not intended to criticize their current efforts and activities, but can assist the team to orient themselves to core SBCC components and identify their strengthening needs.

**The facilitator asks the team to give a general description of the current response in their region**—what is being done, which agencies are involved, where they are working, and what technical areas are being addressed. It helps to have a map of the region to orient this discussion. The facilitator will become familiar with the current regional situation and the team will be oriented to the assessment.

**The facilitator reviews the** *three steps* **of the SBCC-CAT and explains the assessment process**. Copies of the Assessment Tool should be available for each participant for this purpose. The facilitator answers any questions before proceeding.

The facilitator takes the team through each of the SBCC-CAT's five core competency areas. In each case, the facilitator defines and introduces the area to be discussed. Then the facilitator asks an open-ended question and leads short discussions to further clarify issues and gather important background information needed for follow up questions. For complex concepts and issues, probes are used at the end of the closed-ended questions to further clarify responses.

**In response to each question, the team discusses the answer, comes to a consensus, and assigns a score**. The facilitator should not lead responses or suggest scores, but rather request a consensus score from the group by using questions to initiate discussion. The step is completed once all the questions in each component have been discussed and scores assigned.

## **Step 2: Reviewing Materials**

**The facilitator begins by conducting a review of the organization's communication materials that relate to SBCC.** One or two staff should to guide the facilitator through each of the materials, and the facilitator should ask how each was developed and how it is being used by the agency. The materials themselves are not scored.

**The facilitator verifies the scores given by the participants by reviewing the supporting documents** and using definitions provided in the SBCC-CAT and the *C-Modules*. If the supporting documents do not exist or their information is not aligned with responses provided during the self-assessment, the facilitator should adjust scores accordingly with involvement from the team whenever possible. Through the material review, facilitator will be better able to identify SBCC gaps and provide tailored support for the development of an effective capacity strengthening plan.

## Step 3: Developing a Capacity Strengthening Plan

The scores should be re-visited after the material review. Tallying and averaging all scores for each component will provide a baseline from which an agency can build and begin planning improvements. Participants should reach consensus on scores prior to moving to the development of a capacity strengthening plan. Following calculation of the overall score, the team should note main areas that need strengthening, develop an initial plan of action, and note any specific areas requiring technical support. This information will be used to develop a strengthening plan. The results can serve as the situational baseline for the organization. The SBCC-CAT can be used again, after capacity strengthening activities, to determine whether SBCC skills have improved.

**The facilitator writes up the results of the SBCC-CAT in a brief report** that summarizes the discussions for each component and describes how the results of the SBCC-CAT feed into the capacity strengthening plan.

Logical **next steps are a strategy session to determine priorities, and then the creation of a workplan or training action plan**. (A real-life example of this can be found in Annex 5.) This Facilitator's Guide provides a list of questions that can help to guide this process (page 26) as well as a template of a capacity strengthening plan (page 19 of the SBCC-CAT).

# **Step 1: Assessing SBCC Capacity**

# Guidance on SBCC Concepts Used in Step One

For each component and sub-component, the SBCC-CAT provides opening questions that can be used. Concepts used in the components may need more explanation. To get you started, here are some sample probes for Component 1. A glossary for all concepts in the SBCC-CAT is available at: <a href="http://c-changeprogram.org/sites/default/files/sbcc\_modules\_additional\_resources.pdf">http://c-changeprogram.org/sites/default/files/sbcc\_modules\_additional\_resources.pdf</a>

### **Component 1: Understanding the Context through Situation Analysis**

## Sub-component 1: Evidence-based and theory- or model-driven planning and design

#### If a situational analysis has been conducted, probe to find out:

- What are some of main issues you identified the last time you conducted a situation analysis? (Issues could include social or cultural norms, behavioral patterns, policies, and/or legislative or economic factors.)
- What social and gender norms and behavioral patterns are relevant to your program? What is the primary legislative and policy issues might affect it?
- What sources did you use to gather information for the last situation analysis you conducted? Were they secondary sources? Did you collect any data yourself?

### If theories or models are used in planning and design, probe to find out:

- Which theories or models do you use? (Encourage participants to list the names or describe the main domains within the theory or model.) What made you choose these theories or models?
- What does the theory or model used in the situation analysis or strategy development say about the potential causes and/or effects of the issue or problem and how to address it? What does the theory or model used say about: individuals affected by the issue or problem, their family, peers, and partners, the local community, products and services, and the national environment?
- What aspects of these theories or models did you incorporate into your program plans and design?

#### If data from existing research is used, probe to find out:

- What sources of secondary data did you use the last time you conducted a situation analysis? (Examples include DHS, AIDS Indicators Survey, and a Behavioral Surveillance Survey.) Encourage participants to name these sources and to provide you with copies of them, if possible. Note whether or not you saw such copies.
- What specific information from the secondary data sources did you use in the planning your program?

# If data from original research is used, probe to find out:

• What methods did you use to collect the data the last time you conducted a situation analysis? What were your main findings? Which findings did you use to plan and design your programs?

# If stakeholders are identified/mapped/collaborated with, probe to find out:

- What process did you follow to identify stakeholders during the last situation analysis?
- How often were stakeholders engaged in the design of your program? Did they attend meetings? Were they contacted on a one-to-one basis? How did you engage stakeholders you identified?
- Describe any replication of services or overlap of programs, if any, and how you know that these do or do not exist

# **Step 2: Reviewing Materials**

# **Materials Review and Scoring Adjustments**

Completion of the following questions should be based on a review of the supporting documents provided. The initial scores agreed upon during the self-assessment are adjusted, as needed, to reflect information found in these materials. After scores have been adjusted, they are discussed with the group in Step 3. The final score is agreed upon by everyone and is recorded. Comments should include any additional observations that are relevant for the capacity assessment plan. The final score for sub-components is summed, and then divided by the number of questions to create a score for each component as well as a total score.

Organization Date: Date:	
□ Baseline □ Mid-line □ End-line	
Component 1: Understanding the Context through Situation Analysis	
Sub-component 1: Evidence-Based and Theory-Driven Planning and Design	
1.1a Situation analysis         Relevant documentation (reports, notes) shows that the agency:         Does not a conduct an analysis         Conducts a situation analysis         Initial score =         Adjusted score =         Final score =         Comments:	
1.1b Partners' situation analysis	
Relevant documentation (reports, data collection plans, SOW) shows that:	
<ul> <li>Partners do not conduct a situation analysis</li> <li>Partners rely on their own networks to analyze information</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Partners involve key informants in the analysis</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Partners use multiple perspectives in the analysis</li> </ul>	
Initial score =	
Adjusted score =	
Final score =	
Comments:	

Component 1: Understanding the Context through Situation Analysis
Sub-component 1: Evidence-Based and Theory-Driven Planning and Design
1.2 Use of theories and models
Relevant documentation (reports, data collection plans, SOW, notes) shows that:
<ul> <li>Partners are not required to use theories and models</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Partners are required to use theory or models</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Partners are required to use models or theories that fit the context</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Partners are required to use models or theories that fit the context for the situation analysis and strategy design</li> </ul>
Initial score =
Adjusted score =
Final score =
Comments:
1.3a Research Data
Relevant documentation (reports, SOW, data collection plans and instruments, qualitative or quantitative data, notes) shows that the agency:
Does not use research data
Uses research data
Initial score =
Adjusted score =
Final score =
Comments:
1.3b Partners' research Data
Relevant documentation (reports, SOW, data collection plans and instruments, qualitative or quantitative data, notes) shows that:
Partners are not required to collect data
<ul> <li>Partners can rely on their own sources</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Partners are required to use existing research</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Partners are required to use existing and to do original research</li> </ul>
Initial score =
Adjusted score =
Final score =
Comments:

# Component 1: Understanding the Context through Situation Analysis

## Sub-component 1: Evidence-Based and Theory-Driven Planning and Design

#### 1.4a Stakeholders

Relevant documentation (reports, data collection and analysis plans, qualitative or quantitative data, SOW, notes) shows that the agency:

- Does not identify relevant local and national stakeholders or review relevant activities
- D Identifies relevant local and national stakeholders and reviews their activities

Initial score =\_\_\_\_

Adjusted score =\_\_\_\_

Final score =\_\_\_\_\_

**Comments**:

#### 1.4b Partners' stakeholders

Relevant documentation (reports, data collection and analysis plans, qualitative or quantitative data, SOW, notes) shows that:

- Partners do not identify stakeholders
- Partners identifies stakeholders
- D Partners identifies stakeholders and met with them to share program plans and ideas
- D Partners identifies stakeholders and worked with them to reduce replication of services and overlap of programs

Initial score =\_\_\_\_

Adjusted score =\_\_\_\_\_

Final score =\_\_\_\_\_

**Comments**:

**Component 2: Focusing and Designing the Communication Strategy** 

#### Sub-component 1: Development of Strategies

#### 2.1a Communication strategy

Relevant documentation (communication strategy) shows that the agency:

- Does not have a communication strategy for SBCC programs
- □ Has a communication strategy for SBCC programs

#### Initial score =\_\_\_\_

Adjusted score =\_\_\_\_

Final score =\_\_\_\_\_

Component 2: Focusing and Designing the Communication Strategy	
Sub-component 1: Development of Strategies	
2.1b Partners' communication strategy	
Relevant documentation (communication strategy) shows that:	
<ul> <li>Partners" communication strategy includes few of the necessary components (1–3)</li> <li>Partners' communication strategy includes a most of the necessary components (4, 7)</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Partners' communication strategy includes a most of the necessary components (4–7)</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Partners' communication strategy includes all the necessary components (8)</li> </ul>	
Initial score =	
Adjusted score =	
Final score =	
Comments	
2.2a Audience selection and segmentation	
Relevant documentation (communication strategy) shows that the agency:	
Does not develop a communication strategy that selects specific audiences and segments them into clear groups	
Develops a communication strategy that selects specific audiences and segments them into clear groups	
Initial score =	
Adjusted score =	
Final score =	
Comments	
2.2b Partners' audience selection and segmentation	
Relevant documentation (communication strategy) shows that:	
Partners are not required to select audiences and/or segment	
Partners are required to select audiences but not segment into specific groups	
<ul> <li>Partners are required to select audiences and segment into specific groups but not to tailor the program</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Partners are required to select audiences and segment into specific groups and programs are tailored for them</li> </ul>	
Initial score =	
Adjusted score =	
Final score =	
Comments:	

# **Component 2: Focusing and Designing the Communication Strategy**

#### Sub-component 1: Development of Strategies

#### 2.3a Communication objectives

Relevant documentation (communication strategy) shows that the agency:

- $\hfill\square$  Does not set communication objectives
- □ Sets communication objectives

Initial score =

Adjusted score =\_\_\_\_\_

Final score =\_\_\_\_\_

**Comments**:

## 2.3b Partners' communication objectives

Relevant documentation (communication strategy) shows that:

- Partners only have program objectives
- D Partners are required to set communication objectives
- D Partners are required to set evidence-based SMART objectives
- D Partners are required to set evidence-based SMART objectives that address barriers to change for each audience

**Initial score** 

Adjusted score=\_\_\_\_\_

Final score=\_\_\_\_\_

Comments:

#### 2.4a Communication channels

Relevant documentation (communication strategy, workplan and budget) shows that the agency:

- Does not have a communication strategy that uses more than one communication channel
- □ Has a communication strategy that uses more than one communication channel

#### **Initial score**

Adjusted score =\_\_\_\_\_

Final score =\_\_\_\_\_

# **Component 2: Focusing and Designing the Communication Strategy**

#### Sub-component 1: Development of Strategies

## 2.4b Partners' communication channels

Relevant documentation (communication strategy, workplan and budget) shows that:

- Partners only use one channel
- D Partners are required to use multiple channels based on audience preferences
- D Partners are required to use multiple, interlined channels, based on audience preferences
- D Partners are required to use multiple, interlinked channels, based on audience preferences, that reach audiences repeatedly

Initial score =\_\_\_\_

Adjusted score =\_\_\_\_\_

Final score =\_\_\_\_\_

**Comments**:

#### 2.5 Levels of influence

Relevant documentation (communication strategy) shows that

- Partners are required to use a communication strategy that addresses one level (individual, family, community, regional, national)
- D Partners are required to use a communication strategy that addresses two levels, but not during the same time period
- Partners are required to use a communication strategy that addresses more than two levels, but not during the same time period
- Partners are required to use a communication strategy that addresses more than two levels during the same time period

Initial score =\_\_\_\_

Adjusted score =\_\_\_\_\_

Final score =\_\_\_\_\_

**Comments**:

#### 2.6 Strategic approach

Relevant documentation (communication strategy) shows that:

- □ Partners do not have a communication strategy includes a strategic approach
- D Partners are required to have a communication strategy that includes one key channel or strategy
- D Partners are required to have a communication strategy that includes linked key strategies
- Partners are required to have a communication strategy that links all strategies and channels are packaged into a recognizable campaign or intervention

Initial score =\_\_\_\_

Adjusted score =\_\_\_\_\_

Final score =\_\_\_\_\_

# Component 3: Creating Interventions & Materials for Change

Sub-component: 1. Materials Development

## 3.1a Key elements of communication strategy used to develop materials

Relevant documentation (plan/design for audience input, plan/design for stakeholder and technical reviews, notes) shows that the agency:

- Does not use any key elements for creation of materials and messages
- Uses some key elements for the creation of materials and messages

Initial score =\_\_\_\_

Adjusted score =\_\_\_\_\_

Final score =\_\_\_\_\_

**Comments**:

#### 3.1b Partners' key elements of communication strategy (used to develop materials)

Relevant documentation (plan/design for audience input, plan/design for stakeholder and technical reviews, notes) shows that:

- D Partners are not required to use any the elements for creation of materials and messages
- □ Partners are required to use some key elements for creation of materials and messages
- D Partners are required to use several clearly articulated elements for creation of materials and messages
- D Partners are required to use almost all clearly articulated elements for creation of materials and messages

Initial score =\_\_\_\_

Adjusted score =\_\_\_\_

Final score =\_\_\_\_\_

**Comments**:

#### 3.2 Inventory of existing materials

Relevant documentation (workplan, reports) shows that the agency:

- Does not maintains an inventory of communication materials, updated annually and available to partners
- D Maintains an inventory of communication materials that is updated annually and available to partners

Initial score =\_\_\_\_

Adjusted score =\_\_\_\_\_

### Final score =\_\_\_\_\_

Component 3: Creating Interventions & Materials for Change
Sub-component: 1. Materials Development
3.3 Material and message review by technical staff and stakeholders
Relevant documentation (communication strategy, workplan, reports, study designs for stakeholder and technical reviews, notes) shows that:
<ul> <li>Partners are not required to have messages and materials reviewed</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Partners are required to have available staff conduct impromptu or casual reviews of materials</li> <li>Partners are required to conduct formal reviews of messages and materials by technical staff</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Partners are required to conduct formal reviews of messages and materials by technical staff</li> <li>Partners are required to conduct formal reviews of messages and materials by both technical staff and stakeholders</li> </ul>
Initial score =
Adjusted score =
Final score =
Comments:
3.4a Material and message development and audience input
Relevant documentation (communication strategy, workplan, reports, plan/design for audience input, notes) shows that the agency: <ul> <li>Does not develop materials with input from members of intended audiences</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Does not develop materials with input from members of intended audiences</li> <li>Develops materials with input and tested with members of intended audiences</li> </ul>
Initial score =
Adjusted score =
Final score =
Comments:
3.4b Partners' material and message development and audience input
Relevant documentation (communication strategy, workplan, reports, plan/design for audience input, notes) shows that:
<ul> <li>Partners do not to conduct audience consultation or testing</li> <li>Destrore are required to consult with members of toward out on each but their feedback is reach, income rated</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Partners are required to consult with members of target audiences, but their feedback is rarely incorporated</li> <li>Partners are required to tested materials and messages more than once with members of target audiences and their feedback is generally incorporated</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Partners are required to tested materials and messages more than once with members of target audiences and their feedback is generally incorporated</li> <li>Partners are required to consult with target audiences, test repeatedly with them, and always incorporate audience feedback</li> </ul>
Initial score =
Adjusted score =
Final score =
Comments:

#### Sub-component 1: Developing a workplan

### 4.1 Communication project workplan

Relevant documentation (workplan) shows that:

- D Partners are not required to develop a workplan
- D Partners are required to develop workplans that assign responsibilities and provide time frames
- D Partners are required to develop workplans that assign responsibilities, provide time frames, and allocate resources and costs
- Partners are required to develop workplans that assign responsibility, provide time frames, allocate resources, and link material development and activities to communication objectives

Initial score =\_\_\_\_

Adjusted score =\_\_\_\_

Final score =\_\_\_\_\_

**Comments**:

#### 4.2 Coordination and implementation of communication strategy

Relevant documentation (workplan) shows that:

- D Partners are not required to coordinate or link with other partner programs
- □ Partners are required to coordinate one discreet activity with other partner program
- D Partners are required to coordinates several activities with multiple programs
- D Partners are required to coordinates implementation of workplan with multiple programs that complement their communication strategy

Initial score =\_\_\_\_

#### Adjusted score =\_\_\_\_

Final score =\_\_\_\_

**Comments:** 

# 4.3 Budgeting for SBCC programs

Relevant documentation (workplan) shows that:

- Partners are not required to include budgets in the workplan
- □ Partners are required to include a detailed and accurate budgets for distribution of materials in their workplans
- Partners are required to include a detailed and accurate budgets for distribution of materials and M&E program activities in their workplans
- Partners are required to include a detailed and accurate budgets in their workplans for all elements of their program strategy

Initial score =\_\_\_\_

Adjusted score =\_\_\_\_

Final score=\_\_\_\_

Sub-component 1: Developing a workplan

### 4.4 Coordination of implementation activities

Relevant documentation (workplan) shows that:

- Partners implements activities whenever possible
- D Partners are required to consider important events that compete with activities in their workplans
- Partners are required to consider important events that compete with activities, then adjusts their implementation accordingly workplan
- Partners are required to consider important events that compete with activities, that implementation is synchronized, and activities enhance each other

Initial score =\_\_\_\_

Adjusted score =\_\_\_\_\_

Final score =\_\_\_\_\_

**Comments:** 

### **Component 4: Implementing & Monitoring Change Processes**

#### Sub-component 2: Staffing plans and competencies

#### 4.5a SBCC capacity of management and technical staff

Relevant documentation (reports, workplans, communication strategy, staff CVs, performance objectives, training materials) shows that the agency:

- Does not have management and technical staff with the capacity to manage SBCC programs and activities
- □ Management and technical staff have the capacity to manage SBCC programs and activities

Initial score =\_\_\_\_

Adjusted score =\_\_\_\_

Final score =\_\_\_\_

**Comments**:

#### 4.5b Partners' management and technical staff SBCC capacity

Relevant documentation (reports, workplans, communication strategy, staff CVs, performance objectives, training materials) shows that:

- □ Partners are not required to make sure that staff has receive training in SBCC.
- □ Partners are required to make sure that some managers have received training in SBCC
- Partners are required to make sure that managers and technical staff have been trained in SBCC, but does not ensure that they have more than limited applicable experience in SBCC implementation
- Partners are required to make sure that managers and technical staff have been trained in SBCC and have extensive, applicable experience in SBCC implementation

Initial score =\_\_\_\_

Adjusted score =\_\_\_\_\_

Final score =\_\_\_\_

Sub-component 2: Staffing plans and competencies

# 4.6a Management and technical staff SBCC capacity strengthening plan

Relevant documentation (workplan, program proposal and budget/contract, training materials, performance objectives) shows that the agency:

- Does not have a capacity strengthening plan for managers and technical staff
- Base a plan for strengthening technical staff and managerial SBCC competencies and it is being implemented

Initial score =\_\_\_\_

Adjusted score =\_\_\_\_

Final score =\_\_\_\_\_

**Comments**:

#### 4.6b Partners' management and technical staff SBCC capacity strengthening plan

Relevant documentation (workplan, program proposal and budget/contract, training materials, performance objectives) shows that:

- Partners are not required to have an SBCC capacity strengthening plan
- Partners are required to discuss the need for further SBCC strengthening
- D Partners are required to develop a plan for SBCC strengthening
- D Partners are required to have an ongoing plan for SBCC strengthening and to implement it

Initial score =\_\_\_\_

Adjusted score =\_\_\_\_\_

Final score =\_\_\_\_\_

**Comments:** 

#### **Component 4: Implementing & Monitoring Change Processes**

#### Sub-component 3: Supervision of field worker

# 4.7 Field worker supervisory visits

Relevant documentation (workplan, reports) shows that

- □ Partners are not required to supervise field workers
- Derived to periodically supervise field workers, but less (less frequently than once a month)
- Partners are required to supervise field workers monthly and make some observation on services and activities
- Partners are required to supervise field workers and always observe field services and activities

Initial score =\_\_\_\_

Adjusted score =\_\_\_\_\_

Final score =\_\_\_\_

Sub-component 3: Supervision of field worker

# 4.8 Provision of communication materials to field workers

Relevant documentation (workplan, reports) shows that:

- Partners are not required to make communication materials available
- Partners are required to provide field workers with the communication materials they need for outreach activities when available
- Partners are required to ensure that field workers almost always have the communication materials they need for outreach activities
- D Partners are required to ensure that field workers always have the communication materials they need for outreach activities

Initial score=\_\_\_\_\_

Adjusted score=\_\_\_\_\_

Final score=\_\_\_\_\_

**Comments:** 

## **Component 4: Implementing & Monitoring Change Processes**

#### Sub-component 4: Frameworks and mechanisms

#### 4.9 Development of M&E plan

Relevant documentation (workplan, M&E plan) shows that the agency:

- Does not monitor SBCC program implementation
- □ Monitors SBCC program implementation

## Initial score=\_\_\_\_\_

Adjusted score=\_\_\_\_\_

#### Final score=\_\_\_\_

**Comments**:

#### 4.10 Communication project monitoring

Relevant documentation (workplan, M&E plan) shows that:

- □ Partners are not required to have M&E plans developed for their programs
- D Partners are required to have M&E plans developed for some of their programs
- □ Partners are required to have of M&E plans developed for nearly half of their programs
- D Partners are required to have M&E systems developed for most or all of their programs

Initial score=\_\_\_\_\_

## Adjusted score=\_\_\_\_\_

Final score=\_\_\_\_\_

Sub-component 4: Frameworks and mechanisms

#### 4.11 Indicators for SBCC activities

Relevant documentation (workplan, M&E plan) shows that:

- Partners are not required to have indicators for their communication program
- D Partners are required to have some indicators, but their relationship to communication objectives is unclear
- Partners are required to have clear process and output indicators that are linked to the communication objectives
- D Partners are required to have clear process, output, and outcome indicators that are linked to the communication objectives

#### Initial score=\_\_\_\_\_

Adjusted score=\_\_\_\_\_

Final score=\_\_\_\_

**Comments:** 

#### 4.12 Tool for monitoring implementation

Relevant documentation (workplan, M&E plan) shows that:

- D Partners are not required to monitor their SBCC activities
- D Partners are required to create tools to monitor their communication activities as needed
- D Partners are required to have standard tools to monitor process indicators
- D Partners are required to have and consistently use standard tools to monitor process indicators

Initial score=\_\_\_\_\_

## Adjusted score=\_\_\_\_\_

Final score=\_\_\_\_\_

**Comments**:

#### 4.13 Quality of M&E data

Relevant documentation (workplan, M&E plan, program proposal/contract and budget) shows that:

- □ Partners are not required to have a formal plan for data collection and analysis
- □ Partners are required to have a detailed plan for collecting and analyzing data
- □ Partners are required to train or hire people to implement the data collection analysis plan
- Partners are required to train or hire people to implement the data collection analysis plan and conduct quality checks

Initial score=\_\_\_\_\_

#### Adjusted score=\_\_\_\_\_

Final score=\_\_\_\_\_

## **Component 5: Evaluating and Replanning**

#### Sub-component 1: Utilizing results and re-planning for outcome sustainability

#### 5.1a Results, best practices, and lessons learned

Relevant documentation (workplan, M&E plan, reports with lessons learned and/or best practices) shows that the agency:

- Does not document and disseminate results, best practices, and lessons learned
- Documents and disseminate results, best practices, and lessons learned

Initial score =\_\_\_\_

Adjusted score =\_\_\_\_

Final score =\_\_\_\_\_

**Comments:** 

#### 5.1b Partners' results, best practices, and lessons learned

Relevant documentation (workplan, M&E plan, reports with lessons learned and/or best practices) shows that:

- □ Partners are not required to document and disseminate results, best practices, and lessons learned
- D Partners are required to document results or lessons learned
- □ Partners are required to document and disseminate results or lessons learned
- D Partners are required to document and disseminate results, lessoned learned, and best practices

Initial score =\_\_\_\_

Adjusted score =\_\_\_\_\_

Final score =\_\_\_\_\_

**Comments:** 

#### 5.2a Data analysis and interpretation

Relevant documentation (workplan, M&E plan) shows that the agency:

- Does not analyze and interpret the M&E data of the partner programs it oversees
- □ Analyzes and interprets M&E data of the partner programs it oversees

Initial score =\_\_\_\_

Adjusted score =\_\_\_\_\_

Final score =\_\_\_\_\_

#### **Component 5: Evaluating and Replanning**

Sub-component 1: Utilizing results and re-planning for outcome sustainability

## 5.2b Partners' M&E data analysis and presentation of results

Relevant documentation (workplan, M&E plan, reports on programs improved through analysis of M&E data) shows that

- D Partners are not required to analyze M&E data
- D Partners are required to analyze monitoring data
- D Partners are required to analyze M&E data
- D Partners are required to analyze and present M&E data

Initial score =\_\_\_\_

Adjusted score =\_\_\_\_\_

Final score =\_\_\_\_\_

**Comments:** 

#### 5.3 Using M&E data to replan

Relevant documentation (workplan, M&E plan, reports) shows that

- D Partners are not required to use M&E data to improve interventions
- D Partners are required to use M&E data to assess program progress
- D Partners are required to use M&E data to frequently assess progress and improve programs
- D Partners are required to use M&E data to assess programs and improve programs in a systematic manner

Initial score =\_\_\_\_

Adjusted score =\_\_\_\_\_

Final score =\_\_\_\_\_

# **Step 3: Developing a Capacity Strengthening Plan**

# **Finalizing Scores**

Components	Scores
Component 1: Understanding the Context through Situation Analysis	
Sub-component 1: Evidence-based and theory-driven planning and design	
Component 2: Focusing and Designing the Communication Strategy	
Sub-component 1: Development of strategies	
Component 3: Creating Interventions and Materials for Change	
Sub-component 1: Materials development	
Component 4: Implementing and Monitoring Change Processes	
Sub-component 1: Developing a workplan	
Sub-component 2: Staffing plans and competencies	
Sub-component 3: Supervision of field workers	
Sub-component 4: Frameworks and mechanisms	
Component 5: Evaluating and Replanning	
Sub-component 1: Using results for replanning	
Total Score	

# FOR USE WITH DONORS AND NETWORKS

# Synthesizing SBCC Gaps Identified

The team should go back and review their final scores for each component in the SBCC-CAT. They should focus on questions that produced lower scores, areas for improvement, and gaps that require capacity strengthening. After this, they start filling in their SBCC capacity strengthening plan. As they do this, they should reflect on their scores for each component and sub-component, as well the information that identified needs, gaps, and areas for improvement. They should also think about the organization's strengths, and how these could be complemented with the strengths of partners and other organizations. Suggest that they think beyond trainings and consider other resources that might fill identified gaps and areas for improvement.

## **Component 1: Understanding the Context through Situation Analysis**

*Sub-component 1: Evidence-based and theory- or model-driven planning and design* 

K	ey issues	Summary of needs/areas for improvement
•	Has a situation analysis been done?	
•	Are tools, theories and/or models, and data used for program	
	design?	
٠	Are reviews conducted of what is being done by local stakeholders?	

#### **Component 2: Focusing and Designing the Communication Strategy**

Sub-component 1: Development of Strategies

Key issues	Summary of needs/areas for improvement
• Is there a communication strategy that is segmented by audience and has clear communication objectives?	
• Does the communication strategy propose using multiple channels that are mutually reinforcing to reach audiences?	
• Does the communication strategy address different levels of influence on the problem?	

## **Component 3: Creating Interventions & Materials for Change**

Sub-component 1: Material Development

ŀ	Xey issues	Summary of needs/areas for improvement
•	Is a design process followed?	
•	Is there an inventory of current materials?	
•	Do concept testing, pretesting, stakeholder review, and field testing	
	form part of material development?	

Sub-component 1: Developing a Workplan

K	ey issues	Summary of needs/areas for improvement
•	Are there linkages to other programs and partners?	
•	Is budgeting done during workplanning?	
•	Are the materials developed linked to and reinforce main messages in the communication strategy?	

# Sub-component 2: Staffing Plans and Competencies

Key issues	Summary of needs/areas for improvement
• Does staff have the skills to manage and implement SBCC programs?	
• Are plans for staff capacity strengthening in place?	
• Is a supervisory system in place?	

## Sub-component 3: Supervision of Field Workers

Key issues	Summary of needs/areas for improvement
Are field workers supervised regularly?	
Are communication materials provided to workers who do outreach?	

## Sub-component 4: Frameworks and Mechanisms

Key issues	Summary of needs/areas for improvement
Is regular monitoring conducted?	
• Do tools and systems ensure high quality of data?	
Are data analyzed and reinterpreted?	

# **Component 5: Evaluating and Replanning**

# Sub-component 1: Using results for replanning

Key issues	Summary of needs/areas for improvement
<ul><li>Are results documented and disseminated?</li><li>Is analyzed data communicated to partners?</li></ul>	
Are data used to improve programs?	

# Planning and Prioritizing SBCC Capacity Strengthening Needs

Once the team has identified the organization's SBCC gaps, they should think about:

- which areas could be strengthened and improved
- what they already have and what they need
- who will be responsible for leading the effort, both internally and externally
- how important the capacity strengthening effort is

The team then completes the table in the SBCC-CAT to assist the development of their capacity strengthening plan.

# **Annex 1: Organizational Profile**

Date of Assessment: \_\_\_\_\_

Contact person and details:	
Program to be assessed:	
Organization works in the follow	ing technical areas:
Basic HIV prevention	$\Box$ TB
$\Box$ ART	🗆 Family planning
	Reproductive health
Home-based care	Malaria prevention
□ PMTCT	🗆 Malaria treatment
	□ Nutrition
🗆 Education	□ Other:
🗆 Governance	

🗆 General community	MARPs—specify
□ Adults	□ PLWHA
□ Youth	Policymakers
□ Young children	□ Other:

# 7. Locations:

Rural	
Urban	
Regions:	

# **Annex 2: Sample Invitation**

# Dear XXX [head of organization or relevant staff],

We invite you to attend a meeting on assessing your organization's capacity in social and behavior change communication (SBCC). A SBCC Capacity Assessment Tool (SBCC-CAT) will be used to help your organization measure its own technical capacity and needs in SBCC. The SBCC-CAT was designed to help health and development organizations who want to improve the design, implementation, and M&E of their SBCC programs. After the assessment, your organization will have identified its SBCC strengths and weakness, and you will be able to use this information to develop plans to strengthen your SBCC programs and activities.

The meeting will be facilitated by *[insert name]* from *[insert organization and affiliation]* to ensure the assessment environment is equitable and participatory. It is important that all participants feel comfortable in contributing their opinions .The assessment will take one day about eight hours; please find the agenda attached.

As part of the assessment, we will review certain materials related to your work on SBCC. We ask that you bring the following materials and have them ready for review at the beginning of the assessment.

- Data sources used to plan projects
- □ Pre-test materials

- $\ \ \Box \quad Communication \ strategies$
- $\hfill\square$  Quarterly and annual program reports
- Workplans and/or implementation plans for communication activities
- Creative briefs used to develop materials and activities
- Monitoring and evaluation plans

Please bring any other documents you think will be applicable to the assessment and discussions. We would appreciate your help in identifying the relevant management, technical, programmatic, and implementing staff who could participate in the assessment; please send us a list with their names and position titles. We have found the participation of: management, technical, programmatic and implementing staff to be key in the assessment.

Your attendance and participation would be greatly appreciated. We look forward to seeing you on *[insert date]* at *[insert time and place]*.

Sincerely, [Name] [Title

# Annex 3: Sample SBCC-CAT Agenda

The time blocks in this sample agenda build on each other and should be conducted in order. All do not have to be done on the same day.

8:30-9:00	Introduction to the SBCC-CAT	
9:00-10:30	Assessment components 1 and 2	
10:30-10:45	Morning tea break	
10:45-12:15	Assessment components 3 and 4	
12:15-1:00	Assessment component 5	
1:00-2:00	Lunch break	
2:00-3:30	Material review (break for non-participants)	
3:30-4:30	Development of capacity strengthening plan	
4:30-5:00	Evaluation of SBCC-CAT, results, next steps, and close	

# **Annex 4: Sample SBCC-CAT Session Plan**

Facilitator:	ntroduction			
Time	Step	Method	Materials	Participants
30 min	Introduction	Facilitator welcomes participants and discusses the purpose of the SBCC-CAT.	Copies of the SBCC-CAT for all participants	All relevant staff
		Warm-up exercise (for example, participants each light a match and talk about themselves until it burns out.)	Flipchart and markers	
		Discussion: facilitator asks the team to give a general description of the current response in their region		
		Facilitator reviews CAT with team.		
Session 2: S Facilitator:	BCC-CAT components 1 and 2			
Time	Step	Method	Materials	Participants
1.5 hours	Component 1: Understanding the Context through Situation Analysis	Discussion	SBCC-CAT Flipchart and markers	All relevant staff
	Component 2: Focusing and Designing the Communication Strategy		Copies of the communication strategy and other relevant documents	

Session 3: SE Facilitator:	<b>SCC-CAT components 3 and 4</b>			
Time	Step	Method	Materials	Participants
1.5 hours	Component 3: Creating Interventions and Materials for Change Component 4: Implementing and Monitoring Change	Discussion	SBCC-CAT Flipchart and markers Copies of	All relevant staff
	Processes		relevant documents	
Session 4: SE Facilitator:	3CC-CAT component 5	·		
Time	Step	Method	Materials	Participants
45 min	Component 5: Evaluating and Replanning	Discussion	SBCC-CAT Flipchart and markers Copies of relevant documents	All relevant staff
Session 5: M Facilitator:_	aterials review	·	<b>-</b>	
Time	Step	Method	Materials	Participants
1.5 hrs	Material review	Discussion of organization's materials	SBCC-CAT	1-2 staff who are familiar with how
			Flipchart and markers	materials are used within the organization guide
			Copies of requested documents	facilitator through the material review

Session 6: C Facilitator:_	apacity strengthening plan			
Time	Step	Method	Materials	Participants
1 hr Session 7: E Facilitator:	Development of a capacity strengthening plan valuation of SBCC-CAT, results, r	<ul> <li>Participants discuss:</li> <li>summary of SBCC-CAT findings</li> <li>action plans for change</li> <li>technical support and training/resource needs</li> </ul>	SBCC-CAT Flipchart and markers Copies of requested documents	All Relevant staff
Time	Step	Method	Materials	Participants
30 min Ex	Evaluation, action points, and close	Facilitator draws a large curved line on the flip chart, and participants place cards along the line to show the level at which their expectations were met	SBCC-CAT Flipchart and markers	Relevant Staff
		Participants discuss and reach consensus on next steps		

# Annex 5: Case Study—Development and Use of the SBCC-CAT in Namibia

An initial SBCC-CAT draft was developed in 2008 by C-Change/Namibia and C-Change partner Ohio University in response to the need for a set of standards in SBCC programming that could be used to assess the quality of existing programs and identify gaps and areas for strengthening.

This SBCC-CAT version was first used in a participatory session led by a C-Change facilitator that lasted nearly five hours. The assessment team comprised managers, technical staff, and volunteers from each of the key implementing organizations in Namibia working in HIV. The session resulted in a participatory ranking of organizational capacities and SBCC program quality. C-Change/Namibia then developed a data entry worksheet linked to graphs that reflected scores and visually illustrated results. Baseline assessment reports described each organization's results and recommend areas for strengthening.

## **Use of SBCC-CAT Results to Strengthen Programs**

*Communication strategy development:* Following the baseline assessments, C-Change/Namibia developed a standard SBCC-CAT communication strategy template, conducted multiple training workshops for all partners, and provided individualized technical assistance. This process led to the development of communication strategies for each partner's prevention programs that included plans for behavior-related M&E. It also led to the re-design of existing programs to ensure quality, strengthen implementation, and focus on the principal drivers of HIV.

*SBCC program implementation:* C-Change/Namibia then worked with partners to identify current SBCC/IEC materials related to each driver of HIV, then held a series of workshops to train partners in their use. Partners reproduced sufficient materials for field use, trained their volunteers and field staff, developed supervisory checklists with assistance from C-Change, and began field-level implementation.

*SBCC-related M&E:* To implement the new behavior-related M&E plans outlined in the communication strategies, C-Change/Namibia held a series of workshops to develop outcome indicators, sampling plans, and three field-tested questionnaires designed to collect knowledge and attitudinal and behavioral information related to HIV drivers. A Microsoft Access database developed for each questionnaire was installed in partner computers and their M&E staff was trained in data collection and data entry. Prior to program implementation, partners trained their volunteers and other field staff and collected, entered, and analyzed baseline data. Follow-up data was collected one year after implementation to determine progress.

# **Annex 6: Resources Used to Develop the SBCC-CAT**

Following are useful resources and documents used in the development of the SBCC-CAT.

Association of Schools of Public Health. 2006. *Master's Degree in Public Health Core Competency Development Project Report*. Version 2.3, October 2004–August 2006. Washington, DC: ASPH. <u>www.asph.org/userfiles/Version2.3.pdf</u>

Bantwana Institute. 2007. *Community Assessment Tool: Providing Comprehensive OV C Care in Resource-Limited Settings.* Washington, DC: John Snow, Inc, World Education, and Bantwana Institute.

Calhoun, J., et al. 2008. Development of a core competency model for the master of public health degree. *American Journal of Public Health*, 98 (9), 1598–1607.

Castro, A. G. Coe, and S. Waisbord, eds. 2003. *Comunicación en Salud: Lecciones Aprendidas y Desafíos en el Desarrollo Curricular.* Preparado por la Organización Panamericana de la Salud y el Proyecto CHANGE. Washington, DC: AED/Grupo Manoff

College of Nurses of Ontario. 2006. Competency Review Tool. Toronto: CNO.

International HIV/AIDS Alliance. 2008. *Network Capacity Analysis: A Toolkit for Assessing and Building Capacities for High Quality Responses to HIV.* Brighton UK: The Alliance. <u>www.aidsalliance.org/publicationsdetails.aspx?id=278</u>

Irigoin, M., P. Tarnapol, D. Faulkner, and G. Coe. 2002. *Mapping Competencies for Communication for Development and Social Change: Turning Knowledge, Skills, and Attitudes into Action.* Washington DC: The Change Project/USAID. <u>www.comminit.com/en/node/1305</u>

United Nations Development Program. 1997. A Synopsis of General Guidelines for Capacity Assessment and Development. New York: UNDP.

United Nations Population Fund. 2001. *Communication for Development Roundtable Report: Focus on HIV/AIDS Communication and Evaluation*. New York: UNFPA.